

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE 08/30/95 08/520,946 BROW

HM11/0831

PETER G CARROLL MEDLEN & CARROLL SUITE 2200 220 MONTGOMERY STREET SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104

			_
FXAMINER			
	_	_	_
257 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X			

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

DATE MAILED:

08/31/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

FileCyp

Application No. 08/520,946 Applicant(s)

Brow, Lyamichev And Olive

Examiner

William Sandals

Group Art Unit 1636



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 22, 1998</u>	
🔀 This action is FINAL.	
in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay 163	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to expire3month(s), or thirty days, whichever is to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave The drawing(s) filed on	e objected to by the Examiner. is approveddisapproved. r. rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been i Number) the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION	N ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

ANT #15

Serial Number: 08/520,946

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The use of the trademarks NYTRAN, SEQUENASE, CDP-STAR, RNEASY, OLIGOTEX, GENE READER, GEL DOCTOR, IMAGEMASTER, QIAMP, CHELEX, AMPLITAQ, OLIGO, VENT, TWEEN, NONIDET, DYNABEADS, LUMIPHOS, QUICK-SPIN, WIZARD, RIBOPROBE, GEMINI, MARATHON MICRO, CHILL OUT, I-BLOCK, FMOL(E), MICRCOCON and MICRONSPIN has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed June 22, 1998 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge

Page 3

Serial Number: 08/520,946

Art Unit: 1636

generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the Lyamichev et al. reference taught the limitations of enzymatic cleavage by an enzyme which cleaves a single or double stranded nucleic acid substrate where the substrate may form a secondary structure which is as a cleavage site recognized by the enzyme. The teachings of Lyamichev et al. recite the use of PCR throughout the reference. The teachings of Young, Seela and Roling, and Young et al. demonstrate modifications to PCR to improve the technique. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to combine the teachings of Lyamichev et al. with those of Young, Seela and Roling and Young et al. to improve the PCR technique which they were all practicing.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "the 5' nuclease activity of DNA polymerases may be used to characterize sequence variation between nucleic acids by cleavage of intra-strand secondary structure") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

The rejection of claims 1, 3-29 and 31-44 is repeated below.

Art Unit: 1636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-29 and 31-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyamichev et al. in view of Young, Seela and Roling, and Young et al.

The claims are drawn to a method for identifying strains of microorganisms, which may be bacteria, which may be selected from the group comprising members of the genera *Campylobacter, Escherichia, Mycobacterium, Salmonella, Shigella* and Staphylococcus, wherein the genus *mycobacterium* comprise strains of multi-drug resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Also, the microorganism may be virus which may be selected from the group comprising hepatitis C virus (HCV) and simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV). The microorganisms are identified by cleaving the isolated nucleic acid of the microorganisms where the nucleic acid is treated to form (secondary) cleavage structures. The cleavage structures are cleaved with a cleavage means. The cleavage means may be an enzyme, which may be a nuclease, which may be selected from the group consisting of "Cleavase BN", *Thermos aquaticus* DNA polymerase, *Thermus thermophilus* DNA polymerase, *Escherichia coli* E.O. III, and the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Rad1/Rad10 complex. The nucleic acid may comprise a nucleotide analog. The nucleotide analog may be selected from the group comprising 7-deaza-dATP, 7-deaza-dGTP and dUTP. The nucleic acid

Art Unit: 1636

may be single stranded, or RNA or DNA or may be double stranded. The double stranded nucleic acid may be rendered single stranded. This may be done by exposing the double stranded nucleic acid to increased temperature. The cleavage products of the nucleic acid may be separated and then may be detected. The detected cleavage products may be compared with cleavage products of nucleic acid structures from reference microorganisms. The isolated nucleic acid may be a polymorphic locus which may be isolated by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The PCR may be done with a nucleotide analog which may be selected from the group comprising 7-deaza-dATP, 7-deaza-dGTP and dUTP. The PCR primers may be matching or complementary to consensus gene sequences derived from the polymorphic locus, which may be ribosomal RNA, which may be 16S ribosomal RNA.

Lyamichev et al. (see entire reference) taught a method for cleaving an isolated nucleic acid where the nucleic acid was treated to form (secondary) cleavage structures. The cleavage structures were cleaved with a cleavage means. The cleavage means was an enzyme, which was a nuclease, which was selected from the group consisting of *Thermos aquatics* (Tac) DNA polymerase and *Thermos thermophilous* (Tth) DNA polymerase. The nucleic acid may be single stranded, or RNA or DNA or may be double stranded. The double stranded nucleic acid may be rendered single stranded. This may be done by exposing the double stranded nucleic acid to increased temperature. The cleavage products of the nucleic acid may be separated and then may be detected. The detected cleavage products may be compared with cleavage products of reference nucleic acid structures. The isolated nucleic acid may be a polymorphic locus which

Page 6

Serial Number: 08/520,946

Art Unit: 1636

may be isolated by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The PCR primers may be matching or complementary to consensus gene sequences derived from the polymorphic locus. Lyamichev et. al. taught that this method can be used to optimize allele-specific PCR. The polymerase was also taught to be a single stranded endonuclease which recognized hairpin structures of the single stranded nucleic acid.

Lyamichev et al. did not teach a method for identifying strains of microorganisms, which may be bacteria, which may be selected from the group comprising members of the genera *Campylobacter, Escherichia, Mycobacterium, Salmonella, Shigella* and *Staphylococcus,* wherein the genus *mycobacterium* comprise strains of multi-drug resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis.*Lyamichev et. al. also did not teach that the microorganism may be a virus which may be selected from the group comprising hepatitis C virus and simian immunodeficiency virus. The reference did not teach that the detected cleavage products may be compared with cleavage products of nucleic acid structures from reference microorganisms. Lyamichev et al. did not teach that the nucleic acid may comprise a nucleotide analog, where the nucleotide analog may be selected from the group comprising 7-deaza-dATP, 7-deaza-dGTP and dUTP. The reference did not teach that the PCR may be done with these nucleotide analogs or that the PCR primers were from ribosomal RNA, which may be 16S ribosomal RNA.

Young (see especially columns 3-4 and 10) taught the use of PCR with the nuclease

Thermos aquaticus (Tac) DNA polymerase to identify the polymorphic loci of ribosomal 16S

Art Unit: 1636

RNA from *Mycobacterium spp*. which increased the speed, accuracy and sensitivity of detection of disease causing microorganisms which were difficult to culture and could take up to several weeks to identify by culture methods.

Seela and Roling (see especially pages 55 and 61) taught the use of 7-deaza-dATP, 7-deaza-dGTP and dUTP in PCR reactions. The use of these nucleotide analogs helped protect the nucleic acids containing them from nuclease digestion, as well as reduce "read through" problems frequently encountered in polymerase reactions.

Young et al. (see especially the introduction) taught the use of PCR with the nuclease *Thermos thermophilous* DNA polymerase for the detection of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in clinical diagnostics, which was an effective means of direct detection of HCV that streamlined the procedure, reduced potential contamination of the reaction by eliminating the addition of a second enzyme and increased specificity of the primer extension.

It would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Lyamichev et al. with Young, Seela and Roling, and Young et al. to produce a method for identifying strains of microorganisms, which may be bacteria or viruses, where the microorganisms were identified by cleaving the isolated nucleic acid which was treated to form (secondary) cleavage with a nuclease because Lyamichev et. al. taught that this method could be used to optimize allele-specific PCR. The polymerase was also taught to be a single stranded endonuclease which recognized hairpin structures of the single stranded nucleic acid. Seela and Roling recited the use of Tac polymerase with nucleotide analogs 7-deaza-dATP, 7-deaza-dGTP and dUTP in PCR reactions and taught

Art Unit: 1636

that the use of these nucleotide analogs would have helped protect the nucleic acids containing them from nuclease digestion, as well as reduce "read through" problems frequently encountered in polymerase reactions. Young et. al. taught the use of PCR with the nuclease *Thermos thermophilous* DNA polymerase for the detection of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in clinical diagnostics. They taught that the use of Tth DNA polymerase in PCR was an effective means of direct detection of HCV which streamlined the procedure and reduced potential contamination of the reaction by eliminating the addition of a second enzyme and increasing the specificity of the primer extension.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Lyamichev et al. with Young, Seela and Roling, and Young et al. to produce a method that could be used to optimize allele-specific PCR wherein the polymerase is also a single stranded endonuclease which recognized hairpin structures of the single stranded nucleic acid because Lyamichev et. al. taught that this method could be used to optimize allele-specific PCR. Lyamichev et al. also taught that the polymerase was a single stranded endonuclease which recognized hairpin structures. Seela and Roling taught the method using Tth DNA polymerase or Tac DNA polymerase which incorporated nucleotide analogs 7-deaza-dATP, 7-deaza-dGTP and dUTP in PCR reactions demonstrating that the use of these nucleotide analogs helped protect the nucleic acids containing them from nuclease digestion, as well as reduce "read through" problems which are frequently encountered in polymerase reactions. Also, the use of nuclease *Thermos thermophilous* DNA polymerase in PCR assays was an effective means of direct detection of

Art Unit: 1636

HCV as taught by Young et al. because it streamlined the procedure and reduced potential contamination of the reaction by eliminating the addition of a second enzyme which also increased the specificity of the primer extension. Further, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in the producing the instant claimed invention given the teachings of Lyamichev et al., Young, Seela and Roling, and Young et al.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Certain papers related to this application are *welcomed* to be submitted to Art Unit 1635 by facsimile transmission. The FAX numbers are (703) 308-4242 and 305-3014. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61

Art Unit: 1636

(November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6(d)). NOTE: If applicant *does* submit a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by the applicant or applicant's representative, and the FAX receipt from your FAX machine is proof of delivery. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Dr. William Sandals whose telephone number is (703) 305-1982. The examiner normally can be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM, EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. George Elliott can be reached at (703) 308-4003.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

William Sandals, Ph.D. Examiner February 12, 1998

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER